

Monday November 17, 1986

Part III

# **Environmental Protection Agency**

40 CFR Part 300

Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Programs; Interim Final Rule and Proposed Rule Cross-Reference



#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION **AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 300

SW H-FRL-3113-6]

Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Programs

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Interim final rule.

SUMMARY: Section 302 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), signed into law on October 17, 1985, requires the Administrator of EPA to publish a list of extremely hazardous substances within 30 days. The Administrator is also required to simultaneously publish an interim final regulation establishing a threshold planning quantity for each substance on the list and initiate a rulemaking to finalize these regulations. The list and planning quantities trigger emergency planning in States and local communities under SARA. The purpose of this rule is to publish the statutorily prescribed list of extremely hazardous substances and the corresponding threshold planning quantities for those substances. This rule also codifies the reporting and notification requirements nder SARA for facilities at which stremely hazardous substances are present. Finally, a companion preposed rule, published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, initiates a rulemaking to revise the list of substances, the threshold planning quantities and reporting regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATES: This rule becomes effective on: November 17, 1986. Other dates relevant to this rule include the following:

- 1. The emergency release notification requirements become effective on November 17, 1986.
- 2. State emergency response commissions should be established by April 17, 1987.
- 3. Facility notifications for emergency planning are required by May 17, 1987.
- 4. State commissions should establish emergency planning districts by July 17. 1987.
- 5. State Commissions should establish local emergency planning committees by August 17, 1567
- 6. Ferrity netifications to local committees converning foullity. representatives are due by September

DMMENTS: Written comments should be submitted on or before January 2, 1987.

ADDRESSES: Comments: Written comments should be submitted in triplicate to Preparedness Staff, Superfund Docket Clerk, Attention: Docket Number 300PQ: Superfund Docket Room Lower Garage, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Stop WH 548D, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Docket: Copies of materials relevant to this rulemaking are contained in the Superfund Docket located in Room Lower Garage at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. The docket is available for inspection by appointment only between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays. The docket phone number is (202) 382-3046. As provided in 40 CFR Part 2. a reasonable fee may be charged for copying services.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard A. Horner, Chemical Engineer, Preparedness Staff, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, WH-548. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington. DC 20460, or the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Hotline at 1-800/535-0202. in Washington, DC at 1-202/479-2449

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The contents of today's preamble are listed in the following outline:

1. Introduction

- A. Statutory Authority
- B. Background
- 1. Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1956 (SARA)
- 2. Title III.
- 3. Subtitle A
- 4 Section 302
- II. Analysis of the Interim Final Rule
- A. Emergency Manning Program
- Purpose of the List and Threshold Planning Quantities
- 2. Responsibilities of Facilities Under Subtitle A
- 3. Applicability
- 4 Responsibilities of the States and Local Communities Under Title III
- B. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances and Threshold Planning Quantities
- 1. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances
- a. Statutory Requirement
- b. Criteria for the list.
- a basis for the criticia
- iii. application of the crateria
- iv. other toxic chemicals
- c. List of 402 Chemicals
- 2. Threshold Planning Quantities
- a. Statutery Requirement
- b. Development of Threshold Planning Quarties
- Notradel ex
- Statutory Requirement of tracement for a Rulemeking and Solicitation of Public Comment
- III. Relationship to CERCLA
  - A. Relationship of Title III to CERCLA
  - B. Relationship of This Rulemaking to the National Contingency Plan
  - C. Relationshio of This Rule to CERCLA Keporting Requirements Section 103

- IV. Regulatory Analyses
  - A. Regulatory Impact Analysis
- B. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
- C. Paperwork Reduction Act
- V. Supporting Information A. List of Subjects

#### I. Introduction

#### A. Statutory Authority

These regulations are issued under Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1989 Published 99-499). ("SARA" of "the Act"). Title III of SARA is known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act of 1986.

#### B. Background

1. Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA)

On October 17, 1986, the President signed into law the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("SARA") which revises and extends the authorities established under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"). Commonly known as "Superfund." CERCLA provides authority for federal cleanup of abandoned toxic waste sitesand response to releases of hazardous substances. Title III of SARA establishes new authorities for emergency planning and preparedness. community right to know reporting, and toxic chemical release reporting.

#### 2. Title III

Title III of SARA, also known as the "Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986", is intended to encourage and support emergency planning efforts at the State and local level and provide residents and local governments with information concerning potential chemical hazards present in their communities.

The emergency planning requirements of this Act recognize the need to establish and maintain contingency plans for responding to chemical accidents which can inflict health and environmental damage as well as cause significant disruption within a community.

Title III is organized into three subtitles. Subtitle A, which establishes the framework for local emergency to unning, will be described in more detail in the full weng section. Suburla is provides the mechanism for community ownreness with respect to hazardous chemicals present in the locality. This information is critical for effective local contingency planning. Subtitle B includes requirements for the submission of material safety data sheets and emergency and hazardous

chemical inventory forms to State and local governments, and the submission of toxic chemical release forms to the States and the Agency. Subtitle C contains general provisions concerning trade secret protection, enforcement, citizen suits, and public availability of information.

#### 3. Subtitle A

Subtitle A of Title III is concerned primarily with emergency planning programs at the State and local levels. Section 301 requires each State to establish an emergency response commission by April 17, 1987. The State emergency response commission will have several tasks critical to the implementation of local contingency planning and response efforts. It will be responsible for establishing emergency planning districts and appointing local emergency planning committees. The Commission will also be responsible for the supervision and coordination of the activities of the local emergency planning committees.

Section 302 requires the Administrator of EPA to publish a list of extremely hazardous substances and threshold planning quantities for such substances. Any facility where an extremely hazardous substance is present in an amount in excess of the threshold planning quantity is required to notify the State commission by May 17, 1986. Other facilities may also be designated by the Commission or the Governor.

Section 303 governs the development of comprehensive emergency response plans by the local emergency planning committees and provision of facility information to the committee. Section 304 establishes requirements for immediate reporting of certain releases of hazardous substances to the local planning committees and the State emergency response commission, similar to the release reporting provisions under section 103 of CERCLA. Section 304 also requires follow up reports on the release, its effects, and response actions taken.

Finally, section 305 addresses emergency preparedness and training, with special emphasis on hazardous chemicals. The Administrator is also required under section 305 to conduct a review of emergency systems.

#### 4. Section 302

Section 302 defines the specific list of extremely hazardous substances and requires EPA to publish the list within 30 days after the enactment of SARA. The list of extremely hazardous substances is defined in section 302 as "the list of substances published in November, 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of

the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance". This list was established by EPA to identify chemical substances which could cause serious irreversible health effects from accidential releases.

Section 302 further requires EPA to establish threshold planning quantities for each of the 402 extremely hazardous substances through an interim final regulation. At the same time, EPA must initiate a rulemaking effort to finalize these threshold planning quantities. This threshold planning quantity is the total amount of any listed extremely hazardous substance present at any one time at a facility, regardless of location, number of containers, or storage method, which will trigger the planning notification. Section 302 gives the Administrator broad flexibility in establishing these quantities. If EPA does not publish interim final rules establishing the threshold planning quantities by thirty days after enactment of SARA, then the threshold planning quantity becomes two pounds for each extremely hazardous substance.

Under section 302(a)(4) the Administrator may make revisions to the list and threshold planning quantities. Any revisions must take into account the toxicity, reactivity, volatility, dispersibility, combustibility, or flammability of a substance.

Toxicity must include any short- or long-term effect resulting from a short-term exposure to the substance in question. Thus, extremely hazardous substances are characterized as those which can cause serious health effects with only a single exposure.

A facility is subject to the emergency planning requirements of section 302 if any extremely hazardous substance is present at the facility in a quantity greater than the threshold planning quantity established for that substance. The Governor or the State emergency response commission may designate additional facilities to be covered if such designation is made after public notice and opporunity for comment.

Within seven months after enactment of SARA. May 17, 1987, the owner/operator of each facility subject to the provisions of section 302 must notify the State emergency response commission of the state in which it is located that it is subject to that Section. After May 17, 1987 an owner/operator must notify the State emergency response commission within sixty days after the facility begins handling an extremely hazardous substance. Failure to comply with these reporting provisions may, under section 325, result in injunctive relief or the imposition of a civil penalty in an

amount of  $\psi$  . For each day in which the  $\psi$  . For continues.

Lastly, the State emergency responds the commission must provide the Administrator of EPA with informance the notifications received from any facility under section 302.

Today's rule publishes the list of extremely hazardous substances and corresponding threshold planning quantities, as required by section (%) This rule also codifies related statuting reporting requirements applicable to facilities at which extremely hazardous substances are present. Finally, today's rule represents an initiation of an Agency rulemaking to revise this rule as appropriate in response to public comment. A companion proposed rule. published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, specifically sets out for public comment all aspects of this final rule and proposes revisions to the list published today.

#### II. Analysis of the Interim Final Rule

#### A. Einergency Planning Program

The emergency planning program is a first step toward chemical emergency planning for extremely hazardous substances. After the enactment of Superfund, it became apparent that emergency response to accidental releases of hazardous substances. although vital to the protection of public health and the environment, was not enough protection against the possibility of the release of extremely hazardous substances. For many chemicals the magnitude of the endangerment to surrounding populations upon release is such that it is not sufficient merely to plan for cleanup of spills once they have occurred. Rather, it is important to facilitate emergency planning which can help prevent the accident and to prepare facilities and the surrounding or adjacent community for the contingency of a release and the resulting emergency response.

Particularly after the Bhopal. India disaster of December, 1984, it became clear that substances which are highly acutely toxic and have a high potential for becoming airborne posed a special problem for emergency response. In many cases, by the time any emergency response personnel can arrive on the scene of a release, the cloud has already done its damage to public health or the environment and dissipated. For such extremely hazardous substances, early comprehensive emergency planning for the possibility of a release is vital to effective public and environmental protection.

1. Purpose of the List and Threshold Planning Quantities

The extremely hazardous substances list of 402 substances was developed as part of the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (CEPP) and is the result of over a year of EPA effort. EPA initiated the CEPP as part of its ongoing preparedness responsibilities for hazardous substance releases under CERCLA and for addressing toxic substances under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). The program was announced in June, 1985, as part of the Agency's Air Toxics Strategy for addressing both continuing and accidental releases of toxic substances into the air. CEPP is designed to increase public awareness of chemical hazards in communities and to assist States and communities in developing preparedness programs and response capabilities for releases of hazardous chemicals into the environment.

The Agency first developed the extremely hazardous substances list as part of the CEPP along with guidance materials to aid localities in focusing on these chemicals to address the development of community emergency response plans. The list and guidance materials (issued November, 1985) were designed to enable a community to obtain information on the location of potential chemical hazards in the community. This information could be used to help the community take preventive actions and plan responses to accidental releases of these extremely hazardous substances. A notice of availability of the CEPP Interim Guidance was published in the Federal Register on December 17, 1985.

Title III of SARA mandates the type of program advocated by the Agency's CEPP. It requires State and local governments to establish the infrastructure needed to facilitate emergency planning and provides technical support to these programs. It also requires certain facilities to supply the information on chemicals present at the facility which is necessary for

contingency planning.

The extremely hazardous substances list and its threshold planning quantities are intended to help the local community focus on the chemicals and facilities of the most immediate concern from a community emergency planning and response perspective. EPA strongly emphasizes, however, that while the list aublished today includes many of the chemicals which may pose an immediate hazard to a community upon release, it is not to be considered a list of all chemicals which are hazardous enough to require community emergency response planning. There are tens of thousands of compounds and mixtures in commerce in the United States, and in specific circumstances, many of them could be considered toxic or otherwise dangerous. The list published today represents only a first step towards development of an effective emergency response planning effort at the community level. Without a preliminary list of this kind, it would be very difficult for most communities to know where to begin identification of potential chemical hazards among the many chemicals present in any locality.

Similarly, the threshold planning quantities are not absolute levels above which the extremely hazardous substances are dangerous and below which they pose no threat at all. Rather, the threshold planning quantities are intended to provide a "first cut" for community emergency response planners where these extremely hazardous substances are present. After identification of facilities at which extremely hazardous substances are present in quantities greater than the threshold planning quantities, the local community will have the basis for further analysis of the potential danger posed by these facilities. Also, they will be able to identify other facilities posing potential chemical risks to the locality, and develop centingency plans to protect its citizens from releases of hazardous chemicals. Sections 311 and 312 of Title III provide a mechanism through which a community will receive Material Safety Data Sheets and other information on extremely hazardous substances, as well as many other chemicals, from facilities which handle them. A community can then assess and initiate planning activities, if desirable, for quantities below the threshold planning quantity.

In addition to the assistance provided by the extremely hazardous substance list and the threshold planning quantities, community emergency response planners will be further aided by the National Response Team's proposed Hazardous Materials Emergency Planning Guide which is required under section 303(f) of Title III. This document will be available for public réview and comment in December. A separate notice of availability will be published in the Federal Register at that time. The guidance document will be supplemented in 1987 with a technical publication developed by EPA to assist local emergency planning committees in the technical evaluation of potential chemical hazards and the prioritization of sites.

2. Responsibilities c Subtitle A .

Subtitle A establ. .. several notification response dities for facilities at which hazardous substances are present or from which hazardous substances are released. First, under section 302, each facility where any extremely hazardous substance is present at any one time in a quantity equal to or above the threshold planning quantity established for that substance. must notify the State emergency response commission for the State in which it is located.

This notification must be provided within seven months after the enactment of SARA (May 17, 1987) or within 60 days from the time that the facility first becomes subject to the notification requirements in section 302, whichever is later.

Second, under section 303(d), these facilities must also designate a facility representative who will participate in the local emergency planning effort as a facility emergency response coordinator. This designation must be made by September 17, 1987 or 30 days after establishment of the local emergency response committee, whichever is earlier. Section 303(d) also requires facilities to provide the committee with. information relevant to development or implementation of the local emergency response plan.

Section 304 requires notification by a facility at which a hazardous chemical is produced, used, or stored to the local planning committee and the State emergency response commission upon release of a reportable quantity (RQ) of any extremely hazardous substance or other hazardous substance identified under CERCLA section 101(14). This notification is required even if a threshold planning quantity of a substance is not present at the facility. Those extremely hazardous substances for which an RQ has not been established under CERCLA are given an RQ of one pound under section 304 of SARA. These RQ's will be adjusted in later regulation by EPA. Section 304 requires both an immediate release notification to the local committee and State commission and a follow-up report providing additional information on the release, its impacts, and any actions taken in response.

Under section 325, failure to comply with these responsibilities may result in the imposition of civil or criminal penalties. States, local governments, and citizens may also bring suit to enforce many sections of the Abt.

#### 3. Applicability

The emergency planning requirements under section 302 are applicable to all facilities which store, manufacture, process, use, or otherwise handle at any time an extremely hazardous substance in an amount above the threshold planning quantity established for that substance in today's rule. Additionally, after public notice and the opportunity for comment, the Governor may designate other facilities that will be subject to these regulations. "Facility", for the purposes of Title III, is defined as "all buildings, equipment, structures, and other stationary items which are located on a single site or on contiguous or adjacent sites and which are owned or operated by the same person (or by any person which controls, is controlled by, or under common control with, such person)."

For purposes of emergency release notification, under section 304 "facility" is defined in section 329 to also include transportation vessels or facilities. However, section 304 notification requirements apply only to facilities at which hazardous chemicals are produced, used, or stored and at which hazardous substance or a CERCLA hazardous substance.

4. Responsibilities of the States and Local Communities Under Title III.

Title III also prescribes several requirements with respect to emergency planning for States and localities. First, under section 301(a) the Governor of each State is to appoint, within six months of the enactment of SARA, April 17, 1987, a State emergency response commission or designate a state agency to have this responsibility. Until the Governor appoints such a commission or state agency, responsibilities of the Commission under Title III remain with the Governor.

Section 301(b) further provides that the State emergency response commission will be responsible for the establishment of emergency planning districts in which local emergency planning committees will be formed. One month after the emergency planning districts are established, the State commission is responsible for appointing the local emergency planning committees.

Under section 301(c) local emergency planning committees will have the initial responsibility for establishing the community emergency response plans specified in section 303, and the ongoing responsibility for updating, revising, and exercising these plans.

B. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances and Threshold Planning Quantities

### 1. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances

a. Statutory Requirement: As stated above, the list of extremely hazardous substances is defined in section 302 to be the "same as the list of substances published in November 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (CEPP) Interim Gaidance." Section 302 requires the EPA to publish the list within 30 days of the enactment of SARA.

One of the goals of the CEPP was to increase community awareness of chemical hazards, specifically acutely toxic chemicals. To satisfy this goal, the Agency developed the toxicity criteria to assist communities in identifying acutely toxic chemicals present in their midst. Through identification of these chemicals, communities could establish priorities for developing comprehensive emergency response plans. To further assist the communities, the Agency applied toxicity criteria to develop a representative, but not exhaustive, list of acutely toxic chemicals. It is this representative list of 402 chemicals that is presently designated in section 302 as the list of extremely hazardous substances.

The following sections discuss the criteria for identifying extremely hazardous substances.

b. Criteria for the List—i. Basis for the Criteria. Considering the large number of chemicals in commerce and the variable nature of their individual inherent acute toxicities, the Agency assumed for the purposes of the CEPP, that it would be impractical for communities to evaluate all of them. The CEPP list was also based on the assumption that communities would want to focus emergency planning efforts on the most acutely toxic chemicals rather than on nontoxic chemicals or those exhibiting lesser acute toxicity. In an attempt to direct community planning efforts to these chemicals which, because of their inherent acute toxicity, are most likely to induce serious acute reactions following short term exposure, the Agency has specified selection criteria that can be applied to toxicity data to identify acutely toxic chemicals (referred to as "extremely hezardous substances" under Title III).

In defining the criteria, the Agency had to identify the health effects of concern and the data to be used. Because there are very few human acute toxicity data, the Agency elected to use

acute toxicity data derived from experiments who enimals to infer potential for acute toxic effects in humans. The Agency assumed that humans and animals (mammals), on the average, are similar in intrinsic susceptibility to toxic chemicals and that animal data can be used as a surrogate for human data. This assumption forms one basic premise of modern toxicology and is a key component in the regulation of toxic chemicals.

The Agency chose to utilize data on lethality because it represents the most immediate concern in an emergency situation. Additionally, such data can be used as a comparison among many substances whose mechanisms and sites of action may be markedly different. Moreover, acute lethality data for many chemicals are the most commonly reported toxicity information and are available in accessible databases. Lethality data from animal toxicity tests are generally expressed as the median . lethal concentration (LCoo) when the substance has been administered by inhalation or the median lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) when the substance has been administered orally or dermally. These data represent dose levels or concentrations of a chemical tnat resulted in the death of 50 percent of the test animals exposed at the indicated. dose level.

ii. Criteria. The Agency adopted the specific criteria shown in Table 1 to identify extremely hazardous substances that may present severe health hazards to humans following short term exposure to chemicals during a chemical accident or other emergency. The selection criteria are only screening tools to identify highly acutely toxic chemicals. Under these criteria, a chemical is to be considered a notential acute human toxicant if animal test data in any mammalian species are identified with a value less than or equal to that stated for the LCso or LDsc criteria for any one of three exposure routes. Extremely hazardous substances are those defined with inhalation LOA values of less than or equal to 0.5 milligrams per liter of air, dermal LD values of less than or equal to 50 milligrams per kilogram of body weight, or oral LD, values of less than or equal to 25 milligrams per kilogram of body weight. The specific values chosen are recognized by the scientific community as indicating a high potential for actute 'exicity, and chemicals meeting the texicity criteria are considered potentall hazards.

-CRITERIA TO IDENTIFY ACUTELY TOXIC CHEMICALS THAT MAY PRESENT SEVERE HEALTH HAZARDS TO HUMANS EXPOSED DURING A CHEMICAL ACCIDENT OR OTHER EMERGENCY

Route of Exposure 1	Acute Toxicity Measure *	Value
Inhalation Dermal	Median Lethal Concentration in Air (LCss)	Less than or equal to 0.5 milligrams per liter of air. Less than or equal to 50 milligrams per kilogram of body weight.
Oral	Median Lethal Dose (LD <sub>br</sub> )	

1 The route by which the test enimals absorbed the chemical, i.e., by breathing it in air (inhalation), by absorbing it through

The route of which the test shimass absorbed the differences, i.e., by brusting it in all (structuring), by absorbing it into spirit be skin (deemal), or by ingoston (oral).

\* LCs. The concentration of the chemical in air at which 50 percent of the test animals died. LDs: The dose which killed 50 percent of the test animals. In the absorbe of LCs or LDs data, LCts or LDs data should be used. LCs Lethal Concentration Low, the lowest concentration in air at which any test animals died. LDs: Lethal Cose town the lowest concentration in air at which any test animals died.

The primary route of exposure with which the Agency is concerned is inhalation. In using data on oral and dermal acute lethality to infer concern about inhalation toxicity, the Agency was not as much concerned with these specific routes of exposure in humans as with identifying compounds with inherent high potential for acute toxicity.

Even with the amount of animal data that are available, there exist chemicals for which there are no standard acute toxicity test data. In those cases where toxicity testing has not determined an LDso or LCso, the Agency selected an alternative measure of acute toxicity: the lowest dose or concentration at which some animals died following exposure (LD to or LC to). These values may be more variable than those provided from median lethality tests, but for the purposes of screening large numbers of chemicals, it was deemed necessary to provide a second level screening tool in preference to missing potentially toxic chemicals because they were not adequately tested.

The Agency chose to use data from the most sensitive mammalian species instead of data from only one specific species because at present it is not possible to predict which species is the appropriate surrogate for humans for a given chemical.

Acute inhalation toxicity testing depends upon the concentration of the chemical in air and the duration of the exposure periods. Because of this, LCso and LC10 values for a chemical may vary depending upon how long the animals were exposed to the substance. The Agency chose also to make maximum use of available acute toxicity data to screen for acutely toxic chemicals and, therefore, chose to use Cso and LCto values with exposure riods up to 8 hours or with no reported exposure period. The Agency

conservative approach. The screening criteria selected by the Agency are basically consistent with internationally accepted criteria used by

recognizes that this may be a

both the European Economic Community and the World Bank. However, the Agency has adopted a more conservative approach by modifying the selection criteria in three

1. Lethality data are not limited to data on rats, but include data on the most sensitive mammalian species

2. LC data with inhalation exposure periods up to 8 hours are included as compared to using only data from 4 hourexposure tests; and

3. LD<sub>10</sub> and LC<sub>10</sub> data are used when LD50 or LC50 data are unavailable.

iii. Application of the Criteria. The screening criteria can be applied to any experimental data or data base on chemical substances that includes acute animal toxicity data. The Agency applied the criteria to a specific toxicity data base, the Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS). maintained by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The RTECS data base was used as the principal source of toxicity data for identifying acutely toxic chemicals because it represents the most comprehensive repository of acute toxicity information available with basic toxicity information and other data on more than 79.000 chemicals. It is widely accepted and used as a toxicity data source by industry and regulatory agencies alike. Although RTECS is not formally peer-reviewed, the data presented are from scientific literature which has been edited by the scientific community before publication. The Agency recognizes the limitation associated with the lack of peer-review, but for the purposes of screening acute toxicity data, RTECS represents the single best source of information.

In addition, the Agency selected only those chemicals considered to be in current production by reviewing the non-confidential 1977 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Inventory and the current EPA list of active pesticide ingredients. The TSCA Inventory is a listing of chemicals in production at the

time the Inventory was compiled. Chemicals entering commerce since 1977 through the Premanufacturing Notice (PMN) review process under Section 5 of TSCA also were screened for acute toxicity data and compared to the criteria for possible inclusion on the list

Radioactive materials and chemical substances in research and development stages, as well as those manufactured. processed, or distributed in commerce for use as food additives, drugs, or cosmetics are not listed in the TSCA inventory and hence, were not considered. If research chemicals that meet the criteria are produced for commercial use under TSCA or for pesticide use under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), EPA will identify such chemicals through its PMN review program or pesticide registration program and list them under section 302 in future rulemakings. The Agency solicits comments concerning the addition of chemicals in food, drugs, cosmetics and radioactive materials to the list of extremely hazardous substances.

iv. Other Toxic Chemicals. Chemicals with acute lethality values not meeting the criteria values discussed in the previous section are not necessarily safe. In fact, many may be toxic to humans and may represent hazards to the community in accidental release situations. The Agency identified some of these potentially toxic chemicals using criteria based on factors such as high production volume, acute lethality, and known risk, as indicated by the fact that these chemicals have caused death and injury in accidents.

c. List of 402 Chemicals: Application of the criteria discussed above to the RTECS data base and subsequent review of the TSCA Inventory and the FIFRA active pesticide ingredient list... led to the identification of 378 chemicals. In addition, one chemical meeting the toxicity criteria was identified from the Premanufacture Notices. Twenty-three additional chemicals were identified as potentially hazardous, using the criteria described above for "other toxic chemicals". These chemicals were added to the list on the basis of toxicity, high production volume, and known risk. The list of 402 extremely hazardous substances is set forth in Appendices D and E.

The Agency recognizes that the criteria used to establish the extremely hazardous substance list address only lethality, and do not account for all effects that may be associated with acute exposure to chemicals. Criteria are being considered for other health

effects after acute exposures to toxic chemicals. In addition, section 302 requires the Agency to also consider long-term health effects resulting from short-term exposures to these chemicals. The Agency does not presently have sufficient data on such effects and requests data from commenters on chronic effects from short-term exposures and comments on how these effects should be incorporated into criteria for revisions to the list. The Agency also requests any other comments on the appropriate criteria for additions to or deletions from the list.

A companion proposed rule, published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, specifically proposes the addition and deletion of certain substances from Appendices D and E.

#### 2. Threshold Planning Quantities

A. Statutory Requirement: Under section 302 the Agency is required to develop threshold planning quantities for each of the 402 chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances and publish interim final quantities simultaneously with publication of the list. The threshold planning quantity is used to trigger reporting by facilities to the State emergency response commission. Any facility that has one or more of the chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances in quantities equal to or greater than the threshold planning quantity must provide notification to State emergency response commissions by April 17, 1987.

Section 302 specifies that the planning quantities may be based upon classes or categories of chemicals. If the Agency fails to develop threshold planning quantities for the chemicals on the extremely hazardous substances list, a quantity of two pounds is automatically

established for each chemical.

b. Development of Threshold Planning Quantities: For many substances the potential for a serious accidental release resulting from an on-site quantity of two pounds is extremely remote. Therefore, threshold planning quantities of two pounds for all of the extremely hazardous chemicals could result in many unnecessary notifications. diverting the attention of emergency planners from facilities which may be of higher concern.

Because the Agency believes that the two pound threshold planning quantity for all 402 substances would overwhelm clocal emergency planning efforts and would not relate to the endangerment posed by individual substances, it is today establishing threshold planning quantities in lieu of the statutory level. The threshold planning quantities are designed to help State and local officials identify those sites where there is a greater potential for harm to the surrounding community if a release were to occur, thereby focusing resources on the priority emergency planning problems.

c. Methodology: The Agency considered four alternative approaches for development of the threshold

planning quantities:

Approach 1. Specific Quantity Prediction. Under this approach the Agency would determine the specific quantity of each chemical that, if accidentally released, would result in significant acute health effects at a fixed distance from the release site.

Approach 2. Dispersion/Toxicity Ranking Method. Under this approach the Agency would assign chemicals to threshold planning quantity categories based on an index that accounts for the toxicity, the potential to become airborne, and the downwind dispersion of each chemical in an accidental release.

Approach 3. Toxicity Ranking Method. Under this alternative the Agency would assign categories of threshold planning quantities based solely on a toxicity index.

Approach 4. Two Pound Quantity for All Chemicals. Under this option, the default quantity of two (2) pounds would

be used.

After considerable analysis, the Agency has chosen to develop threshold planning quantities using Approach 2. The methodology used in each approach is presented below along with a discussion of the approaches and the reasons why the Agency believes Approach 2 is the most appropriate for establishing threshold planning quantities. For details on the methodologies employed, refer to the Threshold Planning Quantities Technical Support Document, which is available in the public docket for this rule. Comments are solicited on the various approaches and the methodologies. Information on alternative approaches also is being sought by the Agency for consideration in the development of a revised final rule.

Methodology for Approach 1—Specific Quantity Prediction

The methodology for this approach is derived from the site specific guidance developed for the CEPP Interim Guidance. The methodology consists of initially determining a maximum shortterm exposure concentration level in air ("level of concern") for each chemical that would not lead to serious health effects. The quantity of each chemical that would have to be released to the air

to reach the "level of concern" is estimated using techniques for atmospheric dispersion and assessing physical/chemical properties.

This approach is a complex process designed to provide a specific threshold planning quantity for each of the 403 extremely hazardous substances. This section discusses the derivation of levels of concern, assumptions, concerning distance and release circumstances, and the dispersion modeling techniques used in the development of the threshold planning. quantities under this approach.

To perform this analysis, a level of concern must be selected for each chemical, a representative distance from the release site to the exposed population must be determined, and the conditions and modeling techniques for release and dispersion must be selected

for each chemical.

A level of concern was considered to be the maximum concentration of an extremely hazardous substance in air that will not cause serious irreversible health effects in the general population when exposed to the substance for relatively short duration. At present, no such exposure levels have been established specifically for the general public. The National Academy of Sciences and others have been developing guidelines for estimating such levels for toxic chemicals. However, at this time, values for only a few chemicals have been established.

In lieu of a value developed for the general public, the Agency has identified a surrogate measure of such an exposure level. This approximation is the Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH) level which is available for 92 of the chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances. This level established by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) represents the maximum concentration of a substance in air to which a healthy worker can be exposed for 30 minutes and escape without suffering irreversible health effects or impairing symptoms.

The Agency recognizes that the IDLH may have some limitations as a measure for protecting the general population. First, the IDLH is based upon the response of a healthy, male worker population and does not take into account exposure of more sensitive individuals such as the elderly, children. or people with various health problems. Second, the IDLH is based upon a maximum 30 minute exposure period which may not be realistic for accidental airborne releases. Third, the IDLH may not indicate the

concentration that could result in rerious but reversible injury. Based on lesse considerations, the development of more appropriate chemical emergency exposure levels for the general public has been identified as a high priority for

the Agency.

However, the IDLH value, or an estimation of this value for substances that do not have a published IDLH, appears at present to be the best approximation of a level of concern available for planning purposes. IDLH values for those substances with published values were used in the calculations for establishing threshold planning quantities.

Levels of concern were estimated from acute animal toxicity test data for the substances that did not have

published IDLH values.

In these instances, the concentration used to establish threshold planning quantities is determined from LC., LC. LD<sub>50</sub>, or LD<sub>10</sub> data. The following equations show how these data are converted to air concentrations to approximate the IDLH level: (1) Estimated level of concern = LC<sub>50</sub> × 0.1; (2) estimated level of concern =  $LC_{LO}$ : (3) estimated level of concern =  $D_{\infty} \times 0.01$ ; and (4) estimated level of Sincern = LD<sub>LO</sub>  $\times$  0.1. As new information and methodologies become available in the future, the level of concern and the value derived for chemicals on the list should be reevaluated.

A second critical input to the analysis is the distance from the source of the release to the exposed population. For the purposes of establishing planning quantities, the Agency chose a distance of 100 meters (330 feet) to represent the distance from a source inside a chemical facility to the point where the community might be exposed. The Agency believes that this distance is representative of the point at which the community might first be impacted for most situations. The Agency recognizes that it may be shorter than that found at large manufacturing facilities. (particularly those that also have a "buffer zone") or farther than that found at facilities located within urbancenters. For example, an informal survey of chemical facilities in the Kanawha Valley (West Virginia) by the National Institute for Chemical Studies in Charleston, West Virginia, showed hat the distances between storage essels and residential housing may be 23 close as 25 feet (Meyer, 1986). However, there are limitations associated with atmospheric dispersion modeling techniques at distances less than 100 meters. Additionally, the Netherlands Safety Report Legislation

indicates that releases that travel more than 100 meters are judged to be major accidents (Van Deputte, 1982).

Once the level of concern for each chemical was determined and a fixed distance was established, dispersion modeling techniques were used to calculate the quantity of airborne chemical required to generate the level of concern at 100 meters. Although techniques have long been available and used to address air pollution and nuclear fallout, the uses of dispersion modeling techniques to simulate the behavior of chemicals released under accidental conditions for vary short time spans are largely still under development. The Agency's comparison of the several available dispersion modeling techniques is described in the Threshold Planning Quantities Technical Support Document available in the public docket for this rule.

A third critical aspect in the development of the methodology is the assumption made concerning the release of the chemical. An accidental release could be caused by a number of events such as a process upset (e.g. runaway reactions, temperature or pressure excursions leading to ralease), equipment failures (such as pipe rupture. equipment seal failure, valve leaks), handling accidents (such as overfilling containers and puncturing drums with a forklift), or fires and explosions that affect nearby containers or storage vessels of toxic substances. The release scenario generally determines the nature of the emission source and source strength which are critical to the dispersion consequences. Therefore analysis of potential release scenarios in complex and critical to the outcome.

The chemicals on the list were segregated by ambient physical state and grouped as gas, liquid or solid. Gases and liquids represent about half of the 402 chemicals on the list; the remainder are solids. In analyzing the chemicals released, scenarios were developed as follows: Gases were assumed to be stored under pressure such that if a leak, rupture or process unset occurred, a relief valve would open or a rupture would occur, causing a gas jet to be released. Liquids were assumed to be spilled on the ground at ambient conditions and allowed to volutilize. Liquified gases were also evaluated. Because neither of the two release scenarios above are appropriate for solids unless the solids are handled in molten or vaporized state, solids were assumed to be dispersed in powered form as an aerosol by some mechanical means (e.g. filtration unit failure, dust explosion, or other explosion) because this represents a more realistic

emergency release scenario. The sublimation of a solid as a result of a spill was considered and rejected because volatilization of solids is so slow that it does not present an emergency release hazard.

Advantages and Limitations of Approach 1

Approach 1 was designed to determine a specific individual quantity for each chemical for purposes of emergency planning. The quantities calculated using this approach ranged from below one pound (for certain extremely toxic gases) to millions of pounds (for relatively involatile substances). The apparent rigor of the methodology, however, is somewhat misleading due to the uncertainty in the level of concern (iDLH), the release scenarios selected, the source strength inputs, and the ability to model both the release and dispersion. Also, most dispersion techniques are compatible with only a limited number of the many potential release events that could occur, the Agency has no data to show whether these events represent typical. or worst case situations.

Assumptions used with the modeling techniques also cause wide variations in the results. In the case of gases, variations of several orders of magnitude are possible depending on the pressure at which the gas is stored, size of the release opening, density and velocity of the escaping gas. An accidental release is an extremely dynamic event. The dynamics associated with accidental releases are not considered in this analysis since little information is available for the potential release conditions likely for the chemicals on the list.

Finally, even if the Agency was fully confident of the release scenario. emission source modeling and dispersion techniques, a number of her parameters in the analysis are sitespecific. These parameters include the distance from the source to the community or fenceline, the way in which the chemical is actually handled at the facility (e.g., at high temperatures and pressures, refrigerated, etc.), the topography of the area around the see. and prevailing meteorological conditions which can cause wide variation in the dispersion of airborns chemicals. In the absence of a valid empirical data base. the Agency must make assumptions concerning "reasonable" or "credible" characteristics of these site-specific factors. These assumptions are influenced by modeling capabilities exwell as general knowledge of chemical manufacturing and processing

erations and greatly affect the juracy of results.

For these reasons, the Agency has not used this approach to establish the threshold planning quantities in today's rule. However, because the selected methodology (Approach 2) relies on Approach 1 technical analyses, the Agency seeks comments and suggestions on the methodology used here for revision prior to issuance of a revised final rule.

Approach 2—Dispersion/Toxicity Ranking Method

The methodology for this approach makes use of the same technical analyses used in Approach 1 but uses them only to produce a ranking of the chemicals according to their potential to become airborne, dispersion potential and toxicological properties. This approach provides a basis for relative measures of concern rather than absolute values. Under Approach 2, the levels of concern are used as an index of toxicity, and physical state and volatility are used to assess their dispersion potential. The two indices are combined to produce an overall risk gre or "ranking factor". Once the amicals have been ranked, categories of quantity are assigned based on their relative ranking. The lowest rank (highest risk) are assigned low

risk) are assigned higher quantities. To achieve this, the list of chemicals is again segregated by ambient physical state such as gas, liquid or solid. An index value is obtained by assuming. that the level of concern is divided by the factor V, which represents the extent to which the material can become airborne and dispersed:

quantities and the highest rank (lowest

Index = Level of Concern/V

where V is the extent to which the chemical can become airborne. V is assumed to be 1 for chemicals that are gaseous at ambient conditions and for solids in powder form (e.g., flour, talc), that is, in an accidental release all of the chemical could become airborne. For liquids. V represents the extent of volatilization of a spilled quantity of liquid and is estimated by knowing the chemical's molecular weight and vapor pressure. See Attachment I at the end of this preamble for a derivation of the

quations used to estimate V. Once all the chemicals have been ränked, quantities are assigned to groups of chemicals on the list. In the Agency's evaluation of all of the chemicals, only nickel carbonyl is assigned a quantity of "any amount" and must be reported in any quantity because of its extremely high acute

toxicity. Other chemicals with a low index factor, based on the Agency's technical review, are assigned a quantity of two pounds, the default quantity given by the Congress. With the exception of nickel carbonyl, it is believed that the two-pound quantity represents a reasonable lower limit for the most extremely hazardous substances on the list. Chemicals with the highest index factors (or rank) were assigned a threshold planning quantity of 10,000 pounds. This ensures that any facility with as much as a tank wagon or truck load of any extremely hazardous substances would be required to notify the State commission. Between the limits of two pounds and 10,000 pounds, chemicals were assigned to intermediate categories of 100, 500 or 1,000 pounds based on order of magnitude ranges in the index values. The selection of the intermediate categories was based on standard container sizes between two and 10,000 pounds. In summary, the allocations were as follows:

Index value:	Thresh- quantity (lb)
<1×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2
>10 <sup>-2</sup> to <10 <sup>-1</sup>	100
>10 <sup>-1</sup> to <1	500
>1 to <10	1,000
>10	10,000

Advantages and Limitations of Approach 2

The methods utilized in constructing. the exposure and toxicity indices for Approach 2 are based upon, and therefore share the limitations of the methodologies utilized in Approach 1. In particular, NIOSH's IDLH or the Agency's estimated level of concern is an imperfect measure or an approximation of acute toxicity for emergency release situations involving the general public. In addition, the dispersion index is based upon specific release event assumptions. Changes in such assumptions could lead to changes in the rankings to a certain degree. Nevertheless, the Agency believes that this approach provides a consistent relative ranking of the extremely hazardous substances.

The selection of the particular cutoff values for the quantities is based wholly on the relative ranking among all of the substances on the list. Since this is a relative ranking scheme, there is no precision associated with the numbers and they should not be construed as "safe" levels. Because the Agency cannot evaluate every release scenario. it is possible that a serious event could

occur with any quantity lower than the threshold plenning quantity given by this approach. Converse'y, some chemicals may be unlikely to cause serious events even at quantities significantly above their thresholds. However, the Agency believes that this approach yields threshold planning quantities which will focus initial community planning on those situations which present the greatest risk.

Methodology for Approach 3—Toxicity Kanking Method

This approach is similar to Approach 2 except that the chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances are ranked using only their level of concern as an index. As in Approach 2, the chemicals are assigned quantities ranging from any quantity for nickel carbonyl to 10,000 pounds with intermediate categories of 100, 500, and 1,000 pounds based upon a ranking of level of concern values.

Advantages and Limitations of Approach 3

By ignoring the potential for the chemical to become airborne, this approach simplifies the analysis out it may also distort local planning priorities. Although the Agency cannot assess all of the ways in which releases can occur, it is clear that physical state and vapor pressure greatly influence how much of the chemical actually gets into the air. Therefore, the Agency believes that consideration of the potential should be included in the development of a threshold planning quantity.

#### Approach 4—Default to 2 Pounds

Under this approach, EPA could take no action and allow the statutory thresholds to become effective.

Advantages and Limitations of Approach 4

A two pound quantity for each chemical is simple and straight-forward and ensures notification by facilities handling those chemicals that are deemed extremely hazardous in nature. However, it again ignores the potential for the chemical to actually become airbome, distorts local planning priorities and may cause local planning authorities to be overburdened by unnecessary notifications.

#### Conclusions

The Agency believes that Approach 2 is most appropriate for development of the threshold planning quantities because the quantities developed depend primarily on the toxicity of the chemical (level of concern) and degree

to which the chemical will become airborne; factors which are very important in deciding which chemicals are the most important from an emergency planning standpoint. The potential for the chemicals to become airborne is not considered in Approach 3. Although Approach I also addresses these factors, the apparent rigor of this methodology is not supported by the uncertainty of the assumptions and the models which must be applied. Therefore, the planning quantities derived from Approach 1 suggest a level of accuracy or precision that cannot reasonably be relied upon.

Technical support documents, which contain additional information on the approaches presented here and the outcome of applying the approaches, are available in the public docket. A list of these documents is set forth in Attachment II. Approach I provides a much broader range (from less than one pound to over one million pounds. depending upon the assumptions and models used) than the other approaches. The Threshold Planning Quantity Technical Support Document includes the results of applying Approach 1, using varying release scenarios and assumptions, for a representative group of chemicals. Approaches 2 and 3 result in a narrower range, with five planning quantity categories, and "any quantity planning quantity for nicket carbonyl. Of these two latter approaches, only Approach 2 considers the degree to which the chemical will become airborne.

The Agency believes that limited. State and local resources should be focused on those substances that potentially will cause the greatest harm should an accidental release occur. The quantities developed in Approach 2 meet the objective such that those that are most likely to cause serious problems (extremely toxic gases, solids likely to be readily dispersed, or highly volatile liquids) have lower quantities that those that might be toxic but are not likely to be released to the air.

The Agency applied the ranking methodology described in Approach 2 to the 402 extremely hazardous substances. Recognizing that a strictly mechanical application of this approach could lead to errors based on specific characteristics of individual chemicals, the Agency then subjected each chemical to a limited additional review to evaluate the technical reasonableness of the assignments. The threshold planning quantity allocations determined by the ranking methodology were examined and where appropriate changes to higher or lower threshold

classifications were made based upon other toxicity data, rapid absorption chemical reactivity, specific handling, formulation, and use considerations and related factors. For example, sarin and tabun, which were assigned to the 100 pound category by the methodology applied, were assigned to the two pound category because information on their toxicity suggested that they may be even more toxic under conditions of an accidental release than is indicated by the estimated level of concern. Thirty chemicals were reassigned based on this review. The rationale for each such dection is being included in the public docket for this rulemaking. Finally one chemical, nickel carbonyl, had a ranking value so low the Agency decided that any guantity could be a potential problem. The threshold for this chemical was therefore set at "any quantity".

Further, in the case of Approach 2, it was decided that if a chemical in solid, form is not handled or stored as a powder at a site and it is not reactive with air or water to become airborne or to form airborne toxic products or byproducts (e.g., sodium cyanide), then it would be assigned a quantity of 10,000 Ib. Although the Agency cannot identify which chemicals are stored or handled in powder form, it has identified 15 substances that are reactive with water or air which cannot be assigned a thresheld planning quantity of 10,000 pounds regardless of their physical form. These substances are identified in the list of extremely hazardous substances and are discussed in the Technical Support Document on Reactive Solids. which is available in the public docket for this rule. The Agency solicits comments on whether nonreactive solids not handled as a powder should be deleted from the list of extremely hazardous substances, instead of assigning a default value of 10.000 pounds.

Many of the extremely hazardous chemicals are transported, used and stored in formulated products, which contain mixtures of chemicals. The potential hazard associated with extremely hazardous chemicals in mixtures depends on the concentration of the material as well as many factors specific to the composition of the formulations. The Agency has noted in the threshold planning quantities list. one case where it believes that common commercial formulations should not be considered for the purpose of notification under this regulation. In the case of hydrogen peroxide, the Agency does not believe that there is cause for concern with aqueous concentrations of equal to or less than 52-percent and

designates this exception on the list of extremely hazardous substances. The Agency solicits comments on this concept, which is discussed in more detail in the technical document which addresses response to public comment on the CEPP interim guidance.

In all other cases, and in the absence of more specific information, the Agent believes that mixtures of formulations containing one (1) percent or more of an extremely hazardous substance should be evaluated for notification purposes. This means a mixture containing less than 1% of an extremely hazardous substance need not be factored into the calculation of the threshold planning quantity. The rationale for the 1% rule is the low probability of the release of such a mixture delivering the threshold. planning quantity of the extremely hazardous substance to the environment. OSHA has selected this cutoff value of its Hazard Communication Rule (29 CFR 1900.1200) for all hazards except carcinogens.

In evaluating whether to notify for mixtures, facility owners or operators should compare the appropriate threshold quantity with the weight of the extremely hazardous substance in the mixture. For example, if the threshold for a given chemical on the list is 100 pounds and that chemical is 20 percent by weight of a mixture, notification would be necessary if 500 pounds or more of that mixture is present at a facility. Note, however, that no such deminimis exemption exists for emergency release reporting under section 304.

The Agency seeks comments on the methodology chosen to determine threshold planning quantities. Specifically, the Agency seeks comments on:

-Whether the ranking methodology selected (Approach 2) is appropriate for the categorization of the extremely hazardous substances by threshold quantity, and if not, which other approach might be preferable and why.

-Whether the specific toxicity and exposure indices, the IDLH (or, calculated level of concern), and V, respectively, chosen are appropriate for constructing the index.

—Whether the Agency has set the threshold planning quantities for the extremely hazardous chemicals (ranging from any to 10,000 pounds) too high or too low in order to provide state and local planning authorities the information with which to effectively begin their emergency planning activities.

- —Whether it is appropriate to establish a percentage below which extremely hazardous components of mixtures do not have to be considered and, if so, whether one percent or some other percentage is an appropriate cutoff level.
- —Whether the chemical specific quantity adjustments to the determinations made under Approach 2 properly considered the individual characteristics of the adjusted chemicals, and whether other chemicals on the list also require quantity adjustments.

—Whether assigning threshold planning quantities of 10,000 pounds to nonpowder, non-reactive solids adequately addresses concerns for these materials.

—Whether it is appropriate not to consider certain common commercial formulations for purposes of notification under this regulation and whether the designated reporting limit of greater than 52 percent aqueous hydrogen peroxide is appropriate.

#### C. Statutory Requirement of Interim Final Rulemaking and Solicitation of Public Comment

Section 302 of Title III of SARA requires the Administrator to publish a list of extremely hazardous substances within 30 days of enactment. The initial list is required to be the same as the list of substances published in November, 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of the Chemical Preparedness Program Interim Guidance. In addition. section 302 specifically requires the Administrator to publish interim final regulations establishing a threshold planning quantity for each substance on the list, and to initiate a rulemaking to revise these threshold planning quantities. Failure to establish the threshold planning quantities results in statutorily established threshold planning quantities of two pounds.

Although this rule is statutorily required to be effective immediately, the Agency is also, soliciting comment on all aspects of today's rule. In a companion proposed rule published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, the Agency is specifically initiating a rulemaking to revise today's rule as appropriate in response to public comment.

In addition, certain portions of today's rule have previously received the benefit of public scrutiny and comment. At the time the list of 402 extremely hazardous substances was first published by the Agency, it was part of a voluntary program to encourage localities to begin the process of planning for chemical contingencies occurring in their communities. Appendix A of the

Chemical Emergency Preparedness
Program Interim Guidance document
was made public in November, 1985.
That guidance identified those
substances for which it was not enough
to merely focus attention on cleanup of
releases. Rather, because these
substances, upon release, posed
immediate and serious threats to the
surrounding community, emergency
planning and release prevention was
necessary for effective protection of
human health and the environment.

At the time of publication, comments were requested on the methodology for establishing the CEPP list. EPA received comments on the toxicity data used for specific chemicals, and revisions based on those comments are discussed in section II.B.2.c. above. A summary of these comments and the Agency's response has been incorporated into the public docket for this rule.

Today we are requesting comments on all aspects of this rule and are specifically soliciting comments on the criteria for establishing the extremely hazardous substance list, the threshold planning quantities and the methodologies for establishment of the quantities.

Comments must be submitted within 45 days of the publication of this regulation in the Federal Register. Upon completion of the 45 day comment period, the threshold planning quantities and supporting regulations will be finalized in a subsequent final rule as required by section 302, using the comments received as guidance in revision of this interim final rule. The comment period is shorter than that provided for many Agency rules, but is essential in order to allow a final rule to be published before May 17, 1987, when

#### facility notifications are due. III. Relationship to CERCLA

#### A. Relationship of Title III to CERCLA

Title III is a free-standing Title within SARA and thus is separate from, though closely related to, CERCLA. Because the Agency's CEPP effort was developed originally under CERCLA and because Title III emergency response and planning are closely linked to the hazardous substance release response program under CERCLA, the authorities and requirements created by Title III will be largely incorporated into the existing National Contingency Plan, established under CERCLA section 105.

#### B. Relationship of This Rulemaking to the National Contingency Plan

This rulemaking is a new Subpart I within the existing National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution

Contingency Plan (NCP) [40 CFR 300). The NCP provides for an efficient, coordinated and effective response to discharges of oil and releases of hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants in accordance with the authorities of CERCLA and section 311 of the Clean Water Act. The NCP establishes the national organization. policy and procedures for preparedness and response to environmental incidents. The Agency is now in the process of developing a rulemaking to comprehensively revise the NCP to incorporate other changes under SARA and will evaluate placement of Title III

#### C. Relationship of this Rule to CERCLA Section 103 Reporting Requirements

Under section 103 of CERCLA, any person in charge of a facility at which there is a release of a hazardous substance as defined in CERCLA section 101(14) equal to or in excess of its reportable quantity must report immediately to the National Response Center. The National Response Center will then alert the appropriate federal emergency response personnel of the release. This notification includes transportation incidents as well as fixed facility emergencies.

The notification to the State emergency response commission under section 302 is not triggered by a release incident but rather by the presence of certain quantities an extremely hazardous substance at a facility. No release or event of any kind is required for a section 302 report. This notification is an initial action in a process that culminates in the development of community emergency response plans. Section 304 in contrast, establishes reporting requirements similar to CERCLA Section 103 miease reporting. However, instead of requiring notification only to the National Rasponse Center when certain quantities of certain chemicals are released, facilities must under section 304 also notify State and local emergency response officials of these . releases.

A comparison of the reportable quantities established by the Agency under CERCLA for the purposes of emergency response with the threshold planning quantities in today's rule indicates that the quantities established under these lists are not entirely comparable. In fact, 26 adjusted reportable quantities were higher than the threshold planning quantities for the same extremely hazardous substance. As a result, emergency planning would be required for an amount on the plant

site which, if entirely released, would not require a reporting to the National Response Center or to the State commission. This has occurred as a result of the use of two different approaches for establishing reportable quantities and threshold planning. quantities. Unlike CERCLA reportable quantities, the threshold planning quantities are based upon exposure potential. CERCLA reportable quantities are based solely on the intrinsic chemical and physical properties, or toxicity, of a hazardous substance.

During rulemakings to revise the final rule and to adjust reportable quantities under CERCLA and Title III, the Agency intends to evaluate and address, as appropriate, inconsistencies between the two methodologies, the underlying data base of each, and the resulting quantities.

#### IV. Regulatory Analyses

#### A. Regulatory Impact Ancivsis

Rulemaking protocol under Executive Order 12291 requires that regulations be classified as "major" or "non-major" for purposes of review by the Office of Management and Budget. According to E. O. 12291, major rules are regulations that are likely to result in (1) An annual adverse (cost) effect on the economy of \$100 million, (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, federal, state, or local government, or geographical regions, or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of United States based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Because this rule was required by statute to be published in 30 days no further economic or regulatory impact analysis could be conducted by the Agency prior to the publication of this interim final rule. However, analyses of economic and regulatory impact will becompleted for the revised final rule.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires that an analysis be performed for all rules that ere likely to have a "significant impact on a substantial number of small entities." Based on the limited time available, the Agency did not conduct a formal flexibility analysis. However, the Agency has considered the impact on small entities and does not believe that this rule will have significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The reporting and notification requirements contained in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. and have been assigned OMB control number 2050-0046.

#### V. Supporting Information

#### A. List of Subjects

Chemicals, hazardous substances. extremely hazardous substances, intergovernmental relations, community right-to-know, natural resources. Superfund, Superfund, Amendments and Reauthorization Act, air pollution control, chemical accident prevention. chemical emergency preparedness, threshold planning quantity, community emergency response plan, contingency planning, reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated, November 12, 1986. Lee M. Thomas. Admir istrator.

#### Attachment I

Technical Details for Approach 2 Determination of the Threshold Planning Quantity

In Approach 2, the index for ranking the chemicals on the list is:

Index = Level of Concern/V

where V represents the extent to which the chemical can become airborne and dispensed. For gases and solids V equals / one, meaning all of the chemical once released can be potentially airborne. For liquids. V is calculated by estimating the rate of volatilization (mass vaporized per time) per mass of liquid spilled. The V may be generated as follows using equations from Clements (1981) (see also TRC, 1986)

The evaporation rate of a liquid into stagnant air inay be estimated by:

 $G = (1.74)^{6}10^{\circ 4}MKAP)/(RT)$ 

where G is the generation rate in pounds/ minute: M. the molecular weight: K. mass transfer coefficient (cm/sec); A. surface area of the spill (cm?; P is the vapor pressure of the chemical (mm Hg); R is the Universal Cas Constant (82.05 atm cm³/g-mole 'K) and T is the temperature of the liquid in 'K. The mass transfer coefficient may be approximated by referencing the unknown chemical to Waler

K = 0.83 (18/M) 4.13

Combining equations gives:

#### G = (3.78 × 10" M2" 3 A P)/(R T)

The surface area of a spill (or pool) is primarily a function of spilled quantity provided the spill occurs on a flat, nonabsorbing surface. The depth of the pool is assumed to be 1 cm; although if the area around a storage vessel is diked or not flat where puddling could take

place, deeper levels could occur for the same surface area of spilled material. In the absence of specific information about the size of diked area for each liquid, we assume that the spill is 1 cm deep and has density about that of water (1 gm/cm3):

Area  $(cm^2) = 454 (gm/1b) Q (lb) / 1 (gm/cm^2)$  $1 \{cm\} = 454 Q$ 

Substituting and assuming the liquid is at its boiling temperatures (P=760. T>boiling point):

 $G/O = V = 1.6 \text{ M/}^{\circ 67} / \{T + 273\}$ 

where G/Q represents the rate of volatilization per mass of liquid spilled. Note that V was estimated for liquids at their boiling point rather than at ambient temperatures. Conditions during accidental releases are likely to vary and to involve heat (e.g. fires, exothermic runaway reactions or reactions with air or water) causing more rapid volatilization of the liquid. The Agency recognizes that spills at ambient temperatures are also likely and that the rate of volatilization may be impacted by heat from the surroundings, subcooling due to evaporation and flashing from superheated conditions. However, for purposes of developing a relative ranking between substances volatilization at boiling points was utilized and consideration of other conditions for all chemicals is not expected to greatly reorder the ranking of chemicals.

#### References

Van de Putte, 1982, "The Safety Report Legislation and its Application in the Netherlands", T. Van de Putte, Directorate General of Labour, P.O. Box 69, 2273 KH. Voorburg (The Netherlands). Journal of Hazardous Materials, 7(1983) 131-144; July 16, 1982,

Turner, 1970. "Workbook of Atmospheric Dispersion Estimates", NTIS Environmental Health Series, PB191482, USDHEW, NAPCA, Cincinnati, OH, 1970.

Meyer, 1986. Telephone discussion with G.I. Meyer, National Institute for Chemical Studies (NICS) Charleston, W.VA. July. 1986.

TRC, 1986. "Evaluation and Assessment of Models for Emergency Response Planning' prepared for CMA, TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc. April 1986.

Clements, 1981. "Mathematical Models for Estimating Workplace Concentration Levels: A Literature Review" USEPA. Clement Associates, October 1981.

#### Attachment II

List of Technical Support Documents

- 1. Responses to Public Comments on the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance and Chemical Profiles
- 2. Proposed Changes to the List of -Extremely Hazardous substances
- 3. Chemicals that were Assigned Different Threshold Planning

- Quantities from the Calculated Index Value
- 4. Reactive Solids Whose Threshold Planning Quantities Should Not Become 10,000 Pounds
- 5 Alphabetical Listing of Synonyms for the List of Extremely Hazardous Substances
- 6. Threshold Planning Quantities Technical Support Document
- 7. Technical Support Document for Determination of Levels of Concern
- 8. The Criteria Used to Identify
  Extremely Hazardous Substances
- Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance— November, 1986
- 10.Chemical Profiles on the List of 402 Extremely Hazardous Substances

For the reasons set out in the Preamble, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 300—NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES PCLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

1. The authority citation for Part 300 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 105 Pub. L. 98-510, 94 Stat. 2764, 42 U.S.C. 9505 and sec. 311(c)(2), Pub. L. 92-500 as amended, 86 Stat. 865, 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2) and secs. 302, 303, 305, 325 and 328, Pub. L. 99-499; E.O. 12316, 46 FR 42237 (August 20, 1981); F.O. 11735, 38 FR 21243 (August 1973).

2. The table of contents of Part 350 is amended by adding a new Subpart I as follows:

### PART 300—NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES POLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

Subpart I—Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know

Sec.

300.91 Purpose.

300.92 Definitions.

300.93 Emergency planning.

300.94 Emergency release notification.

300.95 Panalties.

3. Following Subpart H in Part 300, a new Subpart I is added as follows:

### Subpart I—Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know

#### § 300.91 Purpose.

This regulation establishes the list of extremely hazardous substances, threshold planning quantities, and facility notification responsibilities necessary for the development and implementation of State and local emergency response plans.

#### § 300.92 Definitions.

Terms not specifically defined in this section have the same maning as in Subpart A of this part.

Act means the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986.

CERCLA Hazardous Substance means a substance listed in Table 302.4 of 40 CFR Part 302.

Commission means the State of emergency response commission (or, for the purpose of emergency planning, the Governor if there is no commission) for the State in which the facility is located.

Environment includes water, air, and land and the interrelationship which exists among and between water, air, and land and all living things.

Extremely hazardous substance means a substance listed in Appendix D

of this part.

Facility means all buildings, equipment, structures, and other stationary items which are located on a single site or on contiguous or adjacent sites and which are owned or operated by the same person (or by any person which controls, is controlled by, or under common control with, such person). For purposes of emergency release notification, the term includes motor vehicles, rolling stock, and aircraft.

Hazardous Chemical means any hazardous chemical as defined under § 1910.1200(c) of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, except that such term does not include the following substances:

(1) Any food, food additive, color additive, drug, or cosmetic regulated by the Food and Drug Administration.

(2) Any substance present as a solid in any manufactured item to the extent exposure to the substance does not occur under normal conditions of use.

(3) Any substance to the extent it is used for personal, family, or household purposes, or is present in the same form and concentration as a product packaged for distribution and use by the general public.

(4) Any substance to the extent it is used in a research laboratory or a hospital or other medical facility under the direct supervision of a technically qualified individual.

(5) Any substance to the extent it is used in routine agricultural operations or is a fertilizer held for sale by a retailer to the ultimate customer.

Person means any individual, trust, firm, joint stock company, corporation (including a government corporation), partnership, association. State, municipality, commission, political subdivision of a State, or interstate body.

Release mean spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing into the environment (including the abandonment or discarding of barrels, containers, and other closed receptacles) of any hazardous chemical extremely hazardous substance, or CERCLA hazardous substance.

Reportable quantity means, for any CERCLA hazardous substance, the reportable quantity established in Table 302.4 of 40 CFR Part 302, for such substance; for any other substance, the reportable quantity is one pound.

Threshold planning quantity means for a substance listed in Appendix D, the quantity listed in the column "threshold planning quantity" for that substance.

#### § 300.93 Emergency planning.

(a) Applicability. The requirements of this section apply to any facility at which there is present an amount of any extremely hazardous substance in excess of its threshold planning quantity, or designated, after public notice and opportunity for comment, by the Commission or the Governor for the State in which the facility is located.

(b) Emergency Planning Notification. The owner or operator of a facility subject to this section shall provide notification to the commission that it is a facility subject to the emergency planning requirements of this subpart. Such notification shall be provided: (1) On or before May 17, 1987 or (2) within sixty days after a facility first becomes subject to the requirements of this section, whichever is later.

(c) Facility Emergency Coordinator.
The owner or operator of a facility subject to this Section shall designate a facility representative who will participate in the local emergency planning process as a facility emergency response coordinator. The owner or operator shall notify the local emergency planning committee (or the Governor if there is no committee) of the facility representative on or before September 17, 1987 or 30 days after establishment of a local emergency planning committee, whichever is earlier.

(d) Provision of Information. (1) The owner or operator of a facility subject to this section shall inform the local emergency planning committee of any changes occurring at the facility which may be relevant to emergency planning.

(2) Upon request of the local omergency planning committee, the owner or operator of a facility subject to this section shall promptly provide to

the committee any information necessary for development or implementation of the local emergency plan.

Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the control Number 2050-0046)

#### § 300.94 Emergency release notification.

(a) Applicability. The requirements of this Section apply to any facility: (1) At which a hazardous chemical is produced, used, or stored and (2) at which there is release of a reportable quantity of any extremely hazardous substance or CERCLA hazardous—substance which results in exposure to persons outside of the boundaries of the facility. This Section does not apply to any such release which is a federally permitted release.

(b) Notice Requirements. (1) The owner or operator of a facility subject to this Section shall immediately notify the local emergency coordinator for the local emergency planning committee of any area likely to be affected by the release and the State emergency planning commission of any State likely to be affected by the release. If there is no local emergency planning committee or State emergency planning commission, notification shall be provided under this section to relevant local or state emergency response personnel.

(2) The notice required under this Section shall include the following to the extent known at the time of notice and so long as no delay in notice or emergency response results:

(i) The chemical name or identity of any substance involved in the release.

(ii) An indication of whether the substance is on the list referred to in section 302(a).

(iii) An estimate of the quantity of any such substance that was released into the environment.

(iv) The time and duration of the release.

(v) The medium or media into which the release occurred.

(vi) Any known or anticipated acute or chronic health risks associated with the emergency and, where appropriate, advice regarding medical attention necessary for exposed individuals.

(vii) Proper precautions to take as a result of the release, including evacuation (unless such information is readily available to the community emergency coordinator pursuant to the emergency plan).

(viii) The name and telephone number of the person or persons to be contacted

for further information.

- (3) As soon as practicable after a release which requires notice under (b)(1) of this section, such owner or operator shall provide a written follow-up emergency notice (or notices, as more information becomes available) setting forth and updating the information required under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, and including additional information with respect to—
- (i) Actions taken to respond to and contain the release,
- (ii) Any known or anticipated acute or chronic health risks associated with the release, and,
- (iii) Where appropriate, advice regarding medical attention necessary for exposed individuals.
- (4) Exceptions. (i) In lieu of the notices specified in paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section, any owner or operator of a facility subject to this section from which there is a release of a CERCLA hazardous substance which is not an extremely hazardous substance and has a statutory reportable quantity may provide the same notice required under CERCLA section 103(a) to the local emergency planning committee.

(ii) In lieu of the notices specified in paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section, any owner or operator of a facility subject to this section from which there is a release during transportation or storage incident to transportation, may provide notice by dialing 911 or, in the absence of a 911 emergency telephone number, calling the operator.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the control number 2050–0040)

#### § 300.95 Penalties.

- (a) Civil Penalties. Any person who fails to comply with the requirements of § 300.94 shall be subject to civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each violation in accordance with section 325(b)(1) of the Act.
- (b) Civil Penalties for Continuing Violations. Any person who fails to comply with the requirements of § 300.94 shall be subject to civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each day during which the violation continues, in accordance with section 325(b)(2) of the Act. In the case of a second or subsequent violation, any such person may be subject to civil penalties of up to \$75,000 for each day the violation continues, in accordance with section 325(b)(2) of the Act.
- (c) Criminal Penalties. Any person knowingly and willfully fails to provide notice in accordance with § 300.94 shall, upon conviction, be fined not more than \$25,000 or imprisoned for not more than two (2) years, or both (or, in the case of a second or subsequent conviction, shall be fined not more than \$50,000 or imprisoned for not more than five (5) years, or both, in accordance with 325(b)(4) of the Act.
- 3. Following Appendix C of Part 300 new Appendix D and Appendix E are added as follows:

APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES
[Appleabencal Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Acetone sysnohydna	75.86.5	Louad	1,000	10
Acetone thiosemicarbazide		Solel	1,000	1.7
Acrolan	107-02-8	Loud		•
Acrylamide	79-06-1			5,000
Acrylontnie	107-13-1	Load		* 100°
* Acrytyl chlonde	814-68-6	Loud	500	
Adiponitrie	111-69-3	Loud	1,000	`k 9
Aldicarb	116-06-3	Sold	7,100	1
Aldon:	309-00-2	Solid	500	2 7
Ntyl alcohol	107-18-6	Loud	1,000	1.00
Name	107-11-9		500 }	4 1
Jumenum phosphide	20859-73-8			100
Amnoplenn		- Sold	500	1.7
Amiton	78-53-5		500	1.4
Amon oxalate		Solid	100	11
Ammonis		Gas		700
Ammonium chloroplatinate		Sold	10,000	1.3
Arrobetamoe		Liquid	1,000	* 1
Arkine	62-55-3	Liquid	1,090	5,000

### Federal Register / Vol. 51, No. 221 / Monday, November 17, 1986 / Rules and Regulat

APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE C

HIDES-Continued

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physica (ktzta	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable: mantify (\$55%)
Anine, 2.4.6-Inmethyl-	88-05-1	Liguid	500	
Antimory pentahounde	7783-70-2	Loud	500	
Ananyon A	1397-94-0	Solid	1,000	
AMU	85-88-4	Sold	500 100	*1.50
Arsenic pentoxide	1303-28-2 1327-53-3	Solid		25,00
Arsenous oxide	7784-34-1	Lioud	500	3 5 10
Arsenous trichlonde	7784-42-1	Gas		
Azinohos-ethyl	2642-71-9	Sold	100	
Aprohos-methy.	86-50-0	Sold	2	ļ
Backson	\$ 1405-87-4(a)	Sold	10,000	
Benzal chlonde	98-87-3	Liquid	· •	* 01
Benzenamine, 3-(trifluoromethyl)-	98-16-8	Loud		
Benzene, 1-(chloromethyl)-4-Nitro-	100-14-1	Solid		
Benzenearsonic acid	98-05-5 • 98-09-9	Sold Lioud		
Benzenesulfonyl chlonde	98-07-7		100	-
Benzotrichloride	100-44-7	1 1		. 210
Benzyl cyanide	140-29-4			
Bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2-carbonitnle, 5-chloro-6-(((methylamino)Carbonyl)oxy)lm	15271-41-7			
Bix(chloromethyl) ketone	534-07-6	Sold	2	,
Bitoscanate	4044-65-9	Sold		'
Boron trichlonde	10294-34-5	Liquid		1
Boron trifluonde	7637-07-2			
Boron trifluonde compound with methyl ether (1:1)	353-42-4			
Bromadiolone	28772-56-7			•
Bromine	7726-95-6	1 5 7		1
Butsonene	* 108-99-0 * 109-19-3	Gas.		
Butyl vinyl ether	111-34-2			} ,
C.I. basic green 1	633-03-4	1		
Cadmium code	1306-19-0			1 6
Cadmium stearate	2223-93-0			, ,
Calcum arsenate	77/8-44-1			ž 1,0
Camphechlor	8001-35-2	Sold	500	
Canthardo	58-25-7	Sold		1 :
Carbachol chlonde	51-83-2			1
Carbanic acid, methyl-, 0-((2,4-Dimethyl-1, 3-Dithiolan-2-yt)Methylene)Amino}	26419-73-8			1
rbofuan	1563-66-2		•	
* irbon desuffide	75-15-0			. 1
Carbophenothion	786-19-6 2244-16-8	· - ·		
Chlordane	57-74-8	Lose		1
Chiorienvinios	470-90-6			1 ,
Choine	7782-50-5			ļ
Chomeotos	24934-91-6	Loud	500	1 '
Chlormequat chloride	999-81-5	Solid		,
Chlorolacetaldehyde	* 107-20-0	• •		1.0
Chioroscetic acid	79-11-8	1 7 7	1.	
Chloroethanol	107-07-3	1		
Chloroethyl chloroformate.	627-11-2 67-66-3		10,000	1
Chloroform Chloromethyl ether	542-88-1			
Chloromethyl methyl ether		Loud	* 100	
Chlorcohacmone	3691-35-8	Soid	100	
Chloroxiron	. 1982-47-4			1
Chlorthiophos	21923-23-9			
Chloric chlorde	10025-73-7	1		1
Coball	* 7440-48-4 10210-68-1	Sold	1	1
Cobalt_uarbonyl	62207-76-5		***	i
Cochiene	64-86-8			!
Country	* 117-52-2	· ·	10,000	
Courseptos	56-72-4	Sold	100	
Counaserayı	5836-29-3			
Ossol, o	95-48-7			1.0
Canada da	535-89-7	Sold		, .
Crotonaldehyde (E)	4170-30-3 123-73-9	1	- :	1
Cyanogen bromde	506-68-3	Sold		1.0
Cyanogen todde.	506-78-5		1 000	
Cyanophias	2536-26-2		1,000	
Cyaruno fluoroe	675-14-9	Doud	100	: , ,
Cyclonexmide:	66-81-9	: ·	100	
Cyclohiesylamine	108-91-8	•	10,000	
Cyclopentane Decaborana (14)	* 287-92-3 17702-41-\$	• T	10 000	: .
Demetor (14)	8065-48-3	•	500	
Demeton-s-mothyl .	919-80-8	1 7	500	
Disklos	10311-84-9	Souc	100	
. »porane	19287-45-7		100	
Dibutyl phthelate	* 84-74-2	1	10,000	
Dichlorobenzaikonum chlorde	8023-53-8		10,000	
Dichloroethyl ether	111-44-4 149-74-6	Liquid	10,000	
Dichlorvos		Liquid	1,000	
Decolophos		Loud	100	
		Liqued .	500	
Diepoxyoutane	614-49-3		1,000	

#### APPENDIX D.-LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES-Continued [Alphasedcal Order]

Chemical name		CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds
thyl-p-phenylenediamine		• 93-05-0	Liquid	10,000	
thylcarbamazine citrate		1642-54-2	Solid	100	
noxin		71-63-8	Solid	* 100	
lycityl ether		2238-07-5	Liquid	1,000	i
021		20830-75-5	Solid	100	
relox		115-26-4	Liquid	500	
reithoate		60-51-5	Solid	500	}
nethyl phosphorochlondothioale		2524-03-0	Liquid	500	١ .
nethyl phthalale		* 131-11-3	Liquid	10,000	
nethyl sullate		77-78-1	Liquid	500	
nothyl sulfide		75-18-3	Liquid		1
neithyl-p-phenylenediamine		99-98-9	Solid	2	1
nethyldichlorosilane		75-78-5	Liquid		1
nethylhydrazine		57-14-7	Liquio		-
netilan		644-64-4	Solid		1
drocresol		534-52-1	Solid		
oseb		88-85-7	Solid		1
oterb		1420-07-1	Solid	10,000	1 .
ctyl phthalate		117-84-0	N T T	500	1
xathon		78-34-2 646-06-0		10,000	1
xolane		82-66-6	,		
hacmone		152-16-9	1		
hosphoramide, octamethyl-		152-16-9 298-04-4	Loud		1
ulfoton		298-04-4 514-73-8	-1	500	Į
wazanine iodide		514-73-8 541-53-7	1		
obure!		316-42-7			1
etine, dihydrochloride		115-29-7	Sold		1
osullan		115-29-7 2778-04-3	Solid		ł
othion	i	72-20-8			
MO		72-20-8 106-89-8			r
hlorohydric		2104-64-5	1 .		
indefend		50-14-6			
calcilerof		379-72-3	1		1
lamine lartrate		1622-32-8	1		
nesulfonyl chloride, 2-chloro-		10140-87-1	Louid		1
nol, 1,2-dichloro-, acetate		563-12-2			1
		363-12-2 13194-48-4	Liquid		1
prophos		542-90-5			1
d thiocyanate		538-07-8			1
lbis(2-chloroethyl)amine		371-62-0			
dene fluorohydrin		75-21-8			
rene oxido		107-15-3			
denemine		151-56-4	1		
imercunc phosphate		2235-25-8			1
soloto prosperition of the soloto prosperition o		22224-92-6	1	2	1
rathon		-122-14-5			1 .
sulfothion		115-90-2			1
กยม		4301-50-2			
nne		7782-41-4			
roacetamide		640-19-7			1
roacetic acid		144-49-0	1		
roacetyl chlonde		359-06-8			
rouracii		51-21-8		500	5
DÍOS		944-22-9		)	
naklehyde		50-00-0	1		
naldehyde cyanohydrin		107-16-4	1 . •		1
netanate		23422-53-9		. 1	1
nothion		2540-82-1			1
nparanate		17702-57-7 21548-32-3			1
hetan		21548-32-3 3878-19-1			1
eridazole	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3878-19-1 110-00-9	1		1
A		13450-90-3	t = '		1
um trchionde		13450-90-3			
achlorocycloperitadiene		* 1335-87-1			1
activoronaphthalene	,	4835-11-4			
amethylenediamine, N,N'-oibutyl		302-01-2	1 - 1		1
razine	*	74-90-8		•	1
rocyanic acid	.,	7647-01-0			
rogen fluonde		7664-39-3			-
rogen peroxida (concentration greater than 52%).		7722-84-1	(		1
rogen selenida		7783-07-5		1	1
rogen sulfide		7783-06-4	• _	1	ļ
roquinone		123-31-9			
methacin		• 53-86-1			
um tetrachloride		10025-97-5			1
, Pentacarbonyi-		13463-40-6			· '
penzan		297-78-9			i .
outyronitrile		78-82-0	•		1
tyanic acid, 3.4-dicritorophenyl ester		102-36-3			1
tens	.,	465-73-6			
luorphato	······	55-91-4			}
phorone disocyanate		4098-71-9			1
propyl chloroformate		108-23-6			1
propyt formate		625-55-8 119-38-0			:
		119-38-0	Liquid		1
propylmethylpytazolyl dimethylcarbamate		70 07 4	Liquid	1,000	!

# APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—CONTINUED

Chamical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (nounds)	Reportab quantity (pounds
ersite	541-25-3	Liquid	> 2	
dane	58-89-9	Solid	1,000	
hum hydride	7580-67-8	Solid	4 100(0)	1
dononárile	109-77-3	• -	10,000	
inganese; Incarbonyl methylcyclopentadienyl	12108-13-3	• -	10,000	į
chlorethamne	51-75-2 950-10-7		500	į
phosician	1600-27-7	1	500	<u>.</u>
voinc chords	7487-94-7	Solid	500	İ
Macuric oxide	21908-53-2		. 500	İ
s/Mene	• 108-67-8	Liquid	10,000	ŧ
thacrolein diacetate	10476-95-6	Liquid	1,000	İ
theorytic anhydrode	760-93-0		. 500 10,000	
thecrylonitile	126-98-7 920-46-7	Louid	1000	
ethacryloyl chloride	30674-80-7	1	500	
tham/dophos			100	!
ithanesulfonyl fluoride	558-25-8	Loud	1,000	(
the dathion	950-37-8		500	i
rbjocarb	2032-65-7		500	•
утоту	16752-77-5		1,000	
thoxyethylmercunc acetate	151-38-2		. 500	1
thyl 2-chloroscrylate	80-63-7 74-83-9		- 500 1,000	
thyl bromide			1	1
thyl disulfide	524-92-0			
tryl isocyanate			500	
thyl isothiocyanate	556-51-6	Sold.	. 500	
thyl mercapian	74~93-1	Gas	500`.	1
thyl phenkapton			500	
thyl phosphonic dichlonde	676-97-1	Solid	100	
thyl thocyanate	556-64-9		10,000	İ
thyl vinyl kelone		Liquid	500	ļ
hylnydiszine			500	<u> </u>
hymercunc dicyanamide			10,000	ļ
hytrichlorosiane			100	
nophos .			500	}
kacarbale		Solid	500	1
omyon C	50-07-7		500	1
nocrotophos.			2	1 .
somol		Solid	500	
istard gas			1,000	
Xel				1
coune	54-11-5		* 100	1
poine sullate	65-30-5		100	
ric acid	7697-37-2		1,000	į
nc oxde	10102-43-9		100	1
robenzene	98-95-3	1 - 1	10,000	1
rocyclohexane	1122-60-7		500	
ogen dioxide	10102-44-0 62-75-9		500	1.
osodimethylamine	92-73-9	• • •	100	; ·
rbormide	33,72	Solid	. 2	1
Dic acci	65-86-1			İ
mium letroxide	20816-12-0	Sold	10,000	
abain	630-60-4		3 100	
amyl	23135-22-0			
etane, 3.3-bis(chloromethyl)-	78-71-7 2497-07-6		1,000	
ydisulfoton	10028-15-6		7	l
one	1910-42-5		7	1
aquat methosullate	2074-50-2		. 2	! .
2thon	58-38-2		_ ° 100	
amor-methyl	298-00-0		3 100	
is green	12002-03-8		500	1 .
ntaborane	19624-22-7 76-01-7	1		1
ntachioroethane	87-86-5			
ntachorophenoi	2570-26-5		. 1	1
acetic acid	79-21-0	Liquid	500	1
chloromethylmercaptan	594-42-3	Liquid	500	į
enol	108-95-2			•
anol, 2,2"-thiobis(4,6-dichloro-	97-18-7 4418-66-0			!
enol, 2,2"-thobis[4-chloro-6-methyl- enol, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, methylcarbamete	64-00-8	,		
enoxarsine, 10,10'-oxydi-	58-36-6			
enyl dichloroarsine	696-28-6	Liquid	1,000	1
enythydrazine hydrochlonde	59-88-1	Sold	1.00	į
enylmercury acetate	62-38-4			į
enyisiatrane	2097-19-0 103-85-5			!
erythioures	298-02-2			í
yosacetim	4104-14-7		100	į
rosiolan	947-02-4	Sold	100	ł
105gene	75-44-5	Gas		ĺ
posmet	732-11-6	Sold	. 21	

#### APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [Alphabetical Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportati quantiti (pnund:
hosphrie	7803-51-2	Gas	500	<i>′</i> .
hosphonothioic acid, methyl-, O-ethyl O-(4-(methylthio)phenyl) ester		Liquid	500	
hosphonothioic acid, methyl-, S-(2-(bis(1-methylethyl)amino)ethyl) O-athyl ester	50782-69-9		500	٠٠,
hosphonothioic acid, methyl-,0-(4-nitrophenyl) O-phenyl exter				1
hosphorous Inchloride.		1	1,000	·
hosphorus inchionue		• - •		
hosphorus oxychloride			500	
nospherus pentachlonde	10026-13-8		· · ·	
nosphorus pentoxide		1		
Michaelman Michaelman		j		
rysostymne				
colorn		1		
endine		Liquid	1,000	
rotai	5281-13-0		100	
undos-eihyl	1			
tinous chloride	1 *			٠.
tinum fetrachlonde				1
assum grande		1		
assum silver cyanide		1		
meçarb.				1.
pargyl bromide	106-96-7		1	
profactone, beta-		1		1
pontrie		1 - 1		1
prontrile, 3-chloro-				1
pylene głycol, allył ether				
pylene oxide			10,000	
pyleneimine	75-55-8	•		1
thoate				
udocumene		1 -		
200		,		
dine, 2-methyl-5-vinyl-				1
dine, 4-amno- dine, 4-artro-, 3-oxide			500	ŀ
mod				ļ
xdium trichloride		Sold	10,000	
comine		•	500	
0			32	ŧ
enium oxychloride			1,000	
enous acidnicarbazide hydrochloride				
ine, (4-aminobutyl)diethoxymethyl-			1,000	1
fium anthraquinone-1-sulfonate			10,000	
drum arsenate				•
fium arsente				*
dium abde (Na(N3))				
fium cacodytale		1		ł
turn fluoroacetate			2	
num pentacyhlorophenate	131-52-2		100	,
inm scienate	13410-01-0		100	
fum seleme			500 500	
chane		1	3 100	
chane, suffate			100	
olep	2000 01 5	1	500	ł
oxide, 3-chloropropyl octyl	3569-57-1	Liquid	500	
ur dioxide	7446-09-5	1		Ī
ur tetralluonde				1.4
ur thoxide	7664-93-9		1,000	
un.			- 2	-
200m	13494-80-9	Solid	500	
urum Pirvailuoride	7783-80-4		2	
9			100	
oulosaethyliead		•		
activitin		•		
amethyl lead	75-74-1	Liquid	100	
antromethane				
thum suitate		<b>4</b> = -		
Nous Carbonale				
Hous chlonde		Sold	100	
litous maionate	2757-18-8	:		
Nous sulfate				
oceans and 2-hanzothezohitholmothe anto-	2231-57-4			
ocyanic acid, 2-(benzothiazoly)thio)methyl ester	39196-18-4	1		
oneion				
ONEZYA		1		
ophenol				
iosen=carbatide		Solid	100	
where were the magniful policy and a series are recommended from the control of t		Sold	500	
wourea, (2-mathylphenyl)-				

#### APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF [Alchabetical Order]

`	Chomical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (poursus
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			500	
	nale		Liquid		
Toluene 2,6-disocyal	nale		Liquid		
Trans-1,4-dichlorobut	1978	110-57-6		500	
Triamiphos	18084 1888 81. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		Sold		
Tnazolos			Liquid		
Trichloro(chlorometr	yl)silane	1558-25-4	Lqud		
Trichloro(dichlorophe	enskaneenskane	27137-65-5	Liquid		
	de		Lqud	500	' '
			Liquid	10,000	
			Liquid	1,000	1`
	3 \		Loud	2	. 1 *
			Sold		1 :00
			Liquid		1.
			Liquid		1.
	hosphile				• •
			Sold		1
		****			1
			Liquid		1.
Inst2-chlorodmytem	#∩e			.,	1.
Vasnomych		1314-62-1	Solid		1,00
		102.05.4	Liquid.		5.00
.,	<del>10</del> 7	1.0040.64.4	Loud		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				10
		100.00.0		—	-
,					1
	. 24 T. ( . ( . ( . ( . ( . ( . ( . ( . ( .				10
Zinc, dichloro(4,4-din	nethyl-5((((methylamino)carbonyl)oxy)im:no)penliinenitril	e)58270-38-9	Solid		1

Statutory reportable quantity for purposes of emergency notification under SARA section 304(a)(2).

Indicates that the reportable quantity is subject to change when the assessment of potential cardinogenicity and/or chronic toxicity is completed.

The calculated threshold quantity changed after technical review as described in the text.

The statutory executive solid. The threshold planning quantity will not become 10,000 pounds for the non-powder form.

This chemical is proposed for deletion from list. Threshold planning quantity is in the interim assigned to the category of lowest concern, 10,000 pounds.

The statutory one-pound reportable quantity for methyl recognitate under CERCLA section 102(b) may be adjusted in a future relemaning action.

#### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
	O	Solid	2	
	Organorhodium complex (PMN-82-147)			* 1.00
0-00-0	Formaldehyde		500	
0-07-7	Mitomyon C.			,
0-14-6	Ergocalciterol			,
1-21-8	Fluorouraci	1	330	
1-75-2	Mechlorethamine		500	
i1-83-2	Carbachol chionde	SM		10
2-68-6 •	Trichlorochon		10,000	
i3–86–1 <sup>4</sup>	Indomethacin	Solid	10,000	1
4-1-1-5	. Nicotne		° 100	10
4-62-6	At moptenn	Sold	500	1
5-91-4	. Isofluorphate			10
6-25-7	Centhandn	Sold	100	*
6-38-2	Parathon	Loyed	* 100 ·	
6-72-4	Courachos	Sold :	100	1
7-14-7	Dimethylhydraizne	Lgud	] 1,000	
7-24-9	Strychine		3 100	11
7-47-6	Physostomere		100	
7-57-8	Propolectone beta-		500	1.
57-64-7	Physostymine, salicylate (1:1)			
	Chlordane		1,000	
57-74-9	Phenoxarsine, 10, 10 caydi	Sold		
58-36-6				
58-89-9	Lindana		1,000	: 4.
59-88-1	Phenylhydrazine hydrochlonde	i i		11
50-34-4	Methythydrazme		100	
50-41-3	Strychnine, sulfate		500	11
50-51-5	Dymethosie			
32-38-4	Phonylmercury acetals			100
\$2-53 <b>-</b> 3	Andre			5,000
52-73-7	Dichloryos			1
52-74-8	Sodium fluoroacetate			*!
52-75-9	Nrtrosodimethylamine			
54-00-6	Phenoi, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, methylcs/barnate			
84- <b>86</b> -8	Colchane ,	<u>Sobd</u>	ب 100 ا	• • •
55-30-5	Nicobne sulfate			
5-86-1 4	Orotic scid		10,000 ·	
·6-81-9		Soid		•
67-66-3	Chicroform :			` * 5,000
71-63-6	Digitosun		3 10C	, 17
72-20-3	Endnn		500	1
74-83-9	Wethyl bromde	<u>Gu</u>	1,000	1,000
74-90-8	Hydrocyanic acid		190	. 10
74-93-1			500 (	100
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide		10,000	100
75-18-3			100	• '9

madelangehoodele sementeelijke feloriteise princise at ongeleen aan ongeleen aan ongeleen aan ongeleen aan onge Tarabalangehoodele sementeelijke feloriteise princise at ongeleen aan ongel

### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QU

günüed

	CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Brocks Outsts Outsts Outsts
	-8			1,000	
	-5	Propylenemine	Gas Liqued	19,000	,
	j-9			10,000	13
	-1			* 100	
	'4	Trimethylchiorosiane			,
	J-5			10,000	
	⊢6 ⊢5			10,000	,
	1-7 4				
	-8			500	i .
		Hexachiorocyclopentaciene	Liquid		! .
	-1			500	! .
	~8 -2			2 2100	,
	-2				
	-5			500	1
	-7	Oxetane, 3,3-bis(chloromethyl)-	Loud	500	,
	'-0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		10,000	
	<del>-7</del>			- 2	
	-1			1,000	5.00
	-8		Sold	100	
	<u>-</u> 6	Thiosemicarbezide		100	10
	-0	Peracetic acid	Liquid	1	
	-1	1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		10,000	1,00
	-7			500 500	1 19
	-6			2	1
	-2 1			10,000	,
	-0 ·	Ptry toquinona:	Liquid	10,000	1.
	-0		30M	2	
	-4		Solid Solid	500	10
	-1				, ,
	-7	Doc3ab	Sold	100	1,00
	-7				10
	-0 1 -7				
	-6 4				1,00
	-7				
98-05	-5	Benzene arsonic and	Solid		į ,
	-7	Benzotrichloride			2
	-9 •		Liquid		10
	-5 -6	Trichlorophenytsitane Berizehamine, 3-(trifluoromethyt)-			
	-3				5,000
	-3	Nitrobenzene	Loud		1,00
	-9	, , , ,		2	1
	4-14-7	Benzene, 1-(chloromethyl)-4-ntro-		. 500 500	1100
	6-3			500	1
	5-5	Phenyithiourea		100	100
106-8	-,	Epichlorohydnn	Liqued	1,000	1.000
	6-7 9-0 *	Propergyl bromide		70,000	
	2-8			500	
	7-3	Choroethanol			
	1-8	,	Ugud	500	• •
	2-0	Propionitrie			1(
	3-1 5-3			10,000	5,000
	8-4			10,000	5.00
	8-8	Alty alcohol		1.000	100
107-2	<i>٥</i> ـــ، • ســــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Chloroecataldehyde	Loud	10,000	1,000
	0-2	Chloromethyl methyl ether		³ 100	* 1
	4-6 9-3			100	1 1 10
	5-4				£ 000
108-2	3–6	Isopropyl chloroformale	Liquid	1,000	, ,
	7-8 <sup>4</sup>			10,000	- 1
	1-85-2			10,000 500	1,000
108-9	8-5	Thophenol	Love		100
	9-3 •	Butyt sovalerate	Loud	10,000	***1
	1-5 7-3				
	/~ 0-9		Sold Loud		1,000
િંં ૧-5	7-6	Trans-1,4-dichicrobutene	Loud	500	
	9-4		Liquid	1,000 ;	1.3
	4-2 *			4	, 1
	9-3			10,000	* 1
	1-9				11
115-2	6-4	Dimetor	Liquid	500	11
	9–7 ×-2				
115~9	0-2	Fensulfothion		1,000	3.4
	×6-3	Addcarb	Code	.i • 100 i	-

# APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued

' 	CAS No	Chemical name	Antownt physical state	Threshold - planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quaristy (pounds)
	117-52-24	Coumaluryi	Solid	- 10,000	
	117-84-0 1	Dioctyl phimalate	. Loud	10,000	-
	119-38-0	. Incorpoy/methylpyrazoryl dimethylcarbamate		500 500	1 .
	122-14-5,	Ferritothion, Hydrodunione		500	į ,
	123-73-9	Crotonistethide (E)-		. 1,000	
	124-65-2	Sodium cacodylate		100	i :
	124-87-8 126-98-7	Picrotexin Methacytonititle	Load	500 10,000	1 . 2
	128-56-3 *		Sout	10,000	•
	129-00-0	Pyrene control of the second o		³ 1,000	: · · ·
	129-06-6	Warfarin solvern	. So-d	1,000 10,000	≛.x
	131-52-2	Sodium pentachkrophenate.	So+c	120	1 '
	140-29-4	Benzyl cyanide	Loud	1,000	;
	141-66-2	Pyridine, 2-methyl 5-viryl		500 100	
	143-33-9	Sodum cyande (Na(CN))	Solc	100	
	144-49-0	Fluoreacetic acid	. So-c	2	
	149-74-6	Dichloromethylphenylsilane		1,000 500	
	151-38-2	Methoxyethylmerouric acetale			
,	151-56-4	Emylenerrane	_ Love	500	' 's
	152-16-9	Diphosphoramide, octamethyl	Lord	100	•
	287-92-3 *	Cyclopentane		•	
	297-97-2	Programme Committee Commit		500	; +C
	298-00-0	Parathion-methyl	Stirt and the second of the se		10
*	298-02-2 298-04-4	Phorate	Level	500	1
	298-04-4	Ampretamine.			
	302-01-2	Hydrazme	love	1,000	1
	309-00-2	Aldring II. Commission of the commission of the		500	1.00
	315-18-4	Mexacarbate Emetine, dihydrochluride			1.0.
	327-98-0	Tronioronale			1
	353-42-4	Roron trifluonide compound with methyl ether (1.1)			
	359-06-8 371-52-0	Fivoroacetyl chloride Ethylene fluorotydnin		• 2	1
• ; .	379-79-3	Eroplanine larirate		500	_ 1
	465-73-6	isodre		100	
	470-90-6	Charlementos		500 500	
	504-24-5	Pyridine, 4-amino-		100	1.00
٠,	505-60-2	Vustard gas	Loud	1,000	. 1
	506-61-6	Potassium silver cyanide			.~
	506-68-3	Cyanogen bromide		.500 1,000	1,00
	509-14-8	Tetraretromethane	Loud	500	•
	514-73-8	Orthiazanine lodide		500	
	534-07-6			2 2	
	535-89-7	Comdine		100	
	538-07-8 1	Ethylbis (2-chloroethyl) amine	Loud	10,000	1
	541-25-3 543-53-7	Lewroute: Dithickwire:		√100	10
	542-76-7			1,000	1,00
	542-88-1	Chloromethyl ether		1,000	
	542-90-5			10,000	
	556-61-6			* 500	
3	556-64-9	Methyl thiocyanate	Laud	10,000	1
	558-25-8	Methanesulfonyl fluonoe		1,000 1,000	
	563-41-7				
٠	584-84-9	Toluene 2, 4-dissocyanate	Loud	500	10
	594-42-3	Perchloromethylmercaptan	Loud	- 500 3 100	10
	614-78-8		Sold	500	
	624-83-9	Methyl isocyanate		500	•
	624-92-0	Methyl disultide	Liquid	100 500	1
	627-11-2	Chloroetryl chloroformute		1.000	
	630-60-4		30-€ . '	* 100	
1	639-58-7	C1 basic green 1	Sold	10,000 500	1
	640-15-3 °	Thiometon	`i- <b>, o</b>	10,000	
	640-19-7		Sout I	* 2	10
. ·	646-06-0 1	Dulane	Soud	500 10,000	
	675-14-9	Cyanwric fluoride	Love	100	1
	676-97-1 696-28-6		Solid Liquid	1,000	. 1
	732-11-6	Phosmet	Sold	1.000	1
	760-93-0		Liquid	500	1
	786-19-6		Liquid	500 ; 1,000	
	814-68-6		Liquid	500	1

### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—CONTINUED (CAS OHIM)

		CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pourids)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
			Trimethylolpropane phosphile	Soid	500	
	919-86-8.		Demeton-S-methyl.	Loud	500	1
			Methacryloyl chlonde,	Loud		i .
1,			Pronofos		500	;
-			Phospioian	Sold	100	
			Methidathion			,
			Norbormide	Sold		
			. Tnethoxysiane			•
			Chlormequat chlorute			1
			Triamphos			i '
			Ntrocyclohexane			
			Pyndine, 4-nkro-, 1-oxode	Solid		
						1
		)	Arsenic pentoxide .			7 5,00
		*	Cadmium oxide	Sold	100	12
			Phosphorus pentoxide	Soid		
			Vanadum pentoxide			•
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,
			Arsenous oxode	Sold	500	
		4	Propylene glycol, allyl ether			
		•	Hexachioronaphthalene			
		*4	Antimyon A			
			Dinoterb			
	1464-53-5		Diepoxybutane	Loud		
			Trichloro(chloromethyl)silane	Louid	100	, 1
			Carboluran			1
			Mercunc scriate			:
		************	Ethanesutionyl chloride, 2-chloro- Diethylcarbamazine citrate			
			Acetone thiosemicarbazide			1
	1910-42-5	····	Paraquat	Solid	2	1
		*********************	Chloroxuron			
			Valindringer		1,000	
			Methicarh			1!
			Paraquet methosulfate Phenylsilatrane	Sold Sold		1 1
			EPN	Sold		
			Cadmium steerate	! Sold		
		***************************************	Thiocarbazide	Sold	1,000	1
		•	Ethylmercunc phosphule			1
		4	Englycicityl ether			
:	275-18-5.		Porthoate			1.
			Oxydisulfoton	Loud		•
		·····	Dimethyl phosphorochlondothioate			
			Formythion		•	1
			Promecarb		1,060	1
2	2636-26-2		Cyanophos		1,000	,
_	642-71-9.		Azinphos-ethyl	Sold	100	
			Phosphonothioic acid, methy4,0-(4-nitrophenyi) 0-phenyi ester		5∞	
		***************************************	Phosphonothiosc acid, methyl-,0-ethyl 0-(4-(methylthio)phenyl) ester			
			Thallous malonate	Solid Solid		1 000
			Endothion	Solid		1000
			Skane, (4-aminobutyl)diethoxymethyl-	Loud		1 1
		4	Vinyfnorbornene	Loud		1 7
			Phosphone acid, dimethyl 4-(methylthio)phenyl ester		500	. : .
			Sulfakide, 3-chloropropyl octyl	Liquid	500	100
2	691-35-8.		Chlorophachone	Solid	100	. 100
2	734-97-2.		Amrion oxalate	Sold		
		*******************************	Methyl phenkapton		. ⇒00 i	
			Fuberdazole		. 100	1 9
7	098-71-9.	·····	Isophorone disocyanate	Sold	500	' '
14	104-14-7.	*************************	Phosecetim	Solid	100	1 1
4	170-30-3.		Crotonaldehyde	Loud	1,000	100
			Physical 2.2 Hosbald object 5 moths.	Sold.	100	• •
4	835-1:-4.		Phenol, 2,2-thiobis[4-chloro-6-methyl- Hexamethylenediamins, N,N-dibutyl-	Sold	1	1.1
:	281-13-0.		Porotal	Soud		
			Thiourea (2-chlorophenyl)-	Sold	100	- 100
			Courteteray	Sold	500	11
			Thatious carbonate	,	• 100	100
7	440-02-0	£	Neckel		10,000	* 1
		4	Colbat	Solid	10,000	• • •
			Sulfur dioxide	Gas	500	1 1
			Sulfur Incode Thalfous puriate	Sold	* 100	11
		************************************	Mercunc chloride	Sold	100 500	100
			Transum tetrachloride	Loud	100	- '1
			Lithurn hydnde			

### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES. AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued

	CAS No	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
7631-89	-2	Sodium arsenate	Solid	1,000	1,000
7637-07	'-2			500	, 5 ÷-
	-0				10
	)-3				1 , 1
	-7 i-9	i .		••••	1 100
	-2			1,000	1.0
	!-2	Photohorous trichloride	Lavd		1,0
	1			,	i ·
	-0				
	-6		i =		710
	-4 ,				}
	⊢5	1 3	Gas	100	1
7783-00	-3				i .
	-4			500	:
	-5	,		100	
	L-2		Lood	500	•
	-4			2	:
7784-34	-1	Arsenous trichlondu.	Liquid		\$ 5,0
	-1				
	-5		Solid		* 1,0
	-7				: 1
	i-3				
	-2				1
	-2				:
	-8 4 ,				
-	-3				! !
	5-7 *		* -		1.
	3-7 7-3				1.0
	7-5 *	Indium tetrachionda			1
	3-8	Phosphorus pentachloride	So'-d	* 500	
	5-6				
	9-1				1
	7-7 (	Rhodium trichlonde			1
	8-8 0-2			500	'
	3-9				
10102-4	4-0				i
	0-2			:	² 1,0
	7-1				
	8-1 2-6				
	4-5			. 1	
10311-8	4-9	Diahlos		100	
	5-6				.,
	3-8				• 1
	3-3 9-9				
	1-6			100	
13194-4	8-4				,
	1-0			1	,
	0-3 6-1 *				
	9-3				1
	C-6	fron pentacarbonyl-		! 100	
	C-9				
14167-1	8-1	Salconine	Sold	500	
16752-7	7-5	Methomyl	! Solid	1,000	1 1
		Ammonium chloroplatinate	Solid	10,000	; ·
	1-9			. 500	
	7-7 5-7				
	2-7				
		Osmum totroxide		10,000	1,0
	'5-5			. 100	•
			Soid	500	1
	2-3 7-0 *	Fosthietan	Light	10,000	1
		Leptophos	Sand	5.00	
21998-5	3-2	Mercuric oxide	So-1	500	
	" <b>3-9</b>		i resid	1 000	
	12-6		School School	: 10°	
	3-9		Serial	100	
.÷C54	H-1	Percentos-estryt	Liquid	1 CX	٠ .
	7-8		. David	50	
	95-6		Lyud Saud	500	
	'3-8	Carbarie, acid, methyl., O (((2,4 dimethyl-1,3 ditholar-2-vnmethylene)amino).  Sodium azide (Na(Ni)).	Scha Soha	* + 100	. 10
27137-6	8 <del>5</del> -5	Trichlorotdichio-ophenyllisitane	in. w	500	
	3-9	" Xyfylene dichloride	Social	100	
70777 0	56-7	, Bromadioisene :	5,401	:10	•